

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

AUSTIN Co

GERALD C. MANN

Honorable Arthur Stehling County Attorney Fredericksburg, Texas

Bear Mr. Stehling:

Opinion No. 0-1150
Re: Authority of county
trustees and school
district trustees to
grant essement over
school land for electric power lines.

This department acknowledges receipt of your letter of July 17, 1939, from which we quote as follows:

"The Pedernales Electric Gooperative, Inc., is securing right-of-way easements to construct rural electrification lines and while the cooperative does not desire to set any poles on any school lands, it will be necessary for them to have an easement in order to stretch the wires over the various school properties. I would like to have an opinion from your department as to whether or not the county board of trustees has the right to grant those easements."

This raises a question similar to that considered by this department in Opinion No. 2607, dated July 8, 1925, and printed at page 458, et seq., of the Biennial Report of the Attorney General for 1924-1926, pertaining to the power of the Board of Regents of the University of Texas to grant an easement to the American Telephone & Telegraph Company over University lands with the right to perpetual use by said company of the land embraced within said grant for the purpose of constructing, operating, and maintaining its lines of telephone and telegraph, including the erection of all necessary poles, wires, cables, and fixtures upon, over, and across said property.

NO COMMUNICATION IS TO BE CONSTRUCT AS A DEPARTMENTAL OPINION UNLESS APPROVED BY THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OR PIRST ASSISTANT

Hon. Arthur Stehling, Page 2

The opinion held that since the Board of Regents was vested by the statute with the control and management of University lands, it had authority to grant the casement in question.

In Opinion No. 1986, dated March 1, 1919, and printed at page 512, et seq., of the Biennial Report of the Attorney General for 1918-1920, it was held that the district trustees may lease a portion of a school lot for oil and gas purposes when the same is not needed for school purposes and the conduct of the school is not interfered with. We recognize, however, that a lease for oil and gas purposes would be a sale authorized by Article 2753, infra, while an easement would not constitute a sale. However, the opinion lest mentioned cites the case of Royce Independent School District v. Rinehart, 159 S.W. 1010, (Writ of error refused), where the court held that a lease by the trustees of a school district of a portion of the school campus for a baseball club was valid.

In snother opinion by this Department, dated October 28, 1915, and printed at page 585 of the Biennial Report for 1914-1916, it was held that the trustees of a school district were authorized to lease to a lodge a portion of the school building insofar as the uses to which such property is put would not conflict with its use as school property.

We believe that the proper school authorities have the same authority to execute the easement in question that it has to lease property of the school district.

Article 2754, Revised Civil Statutes, reads as follows:

"All school houses erected, grounds purchased or leased for a school district, and all other property belonging thereto, shall be under the control of the district trustees of such district."

While this article is placed under subdivision 1 of Chapter 15, Title 49, headed "Common School Districts", nevertheless, in view of the fact that the original act of 1905 from which it is taken together with the two decisions above mentioned, the same rule applies to independent school Hon. Arthur Stehling, Page 3

districts as well as common school districts, that is, the trustees of independent school districts have the control and management of the property of the district.

Article 2753, Revised Civil Statutes, reads as follows:

"The trustees of any school district, upon the order of the county trustees prescribing the terms thereof, when deemed advisable, may make sale of any property belonging to said school district, and apply the proceeds to the purchase of necessary grounds, or to the building or repairing of schoolhouses, or place the proceeds to the credit of the available school fund of the district."

Article 2683, Revised Civil Statutes, pertaining to the powers of county school trustees, provides as follows:

"The County school trustees of each county shall constitute a body corporate, by the name of the county school trustees of county, State of Texas, and in that name may acquire and hold real and personal property, sue and be sued, and may receive bequests and donations or other moneys or funds coming legally into their hands, and may perform other acts for the promotion of education in the county. The title to any school property belonging to the county, the title of which has heretofore been vested in the county judge and his successors in office. or any school property that may be acquired, shall vest in the county school trustees and their successors in office for public free school purposes."

You are advised that it is our opinion that the school trustees have authority to execute the easement in question, but as to those districts coming under the jurisdiction of the county trustees, the essement should be approved by such trustees.

Yours very truly

APPROVEDAUG 24, 1939

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

HOC:BT

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

Cracy Chandler